ORTHOPAEDICS





EDITOR: MICHAEL HELD

UNIVERSITY OF CAPE TOWN'S ORTHOPAEDIC DEPARTMENT

Approach to bone sarcomas

by Thomas Hilton, Len Marais, Nando Ferreira & Luan Nieuwoudt

Learning objectives


- 1. Evaluate a bone sarcoma.
- 2. Formulate a description of a X-ray.
- 3. Develop a radiological differential diagnosis for a bone tumour.
- 4. Outline a basic initial management plan.
- 4. Understand the indications for surgical management and urgent referral.

Case presentation

A 12-year-old female patient presents with a swelling on the left upper arm. The mass was first noted about 2 weeks ago and is painful at rest. She has had no previous trauma to the shoulder region and has no other medical problems. The mother states that she has had a couple of episodes of low-grade fever over the past 2 weeks, without any other symptoms. Examination reveals a large, firm, non-tender mass located deep to the fascia. There is no neurovascular deficit.




Clinical photograph depicting the swelling on the lateral aspect of the upper arm. There are no changes in the overlying skin.




left upper arm and shoulder showing a diffuse lytic lesion in its shaft/ diaphysis extending in a centrifugal (in all directions) manner.

Working from the outside in, there is extensive soft tissue extension from the bone, the matrix of the lesion shows cloud-like / ill-defined amorphous ossification in parts. There is a wide zone of transition between the normal bone and diseased bone, meaning that the border between them is not easily defined. The lesion is lytic in nature giving the humerus a moth-eaten appearance.

These are all features of a malignant bone sarcoma.



A MRI showing an axial image of the above patient's mass. It shows a large soft tissue mass originating from the left humerus. The neurovascular bundle is displaced medially, as are the surrounding muscles of the upper arm. Hopefully neoadjuvant chemotherapy will help to reduce the size of the lesion and allow limb salvage.



A MRI image showing a coronal view of the patient's tumour. It looks different from the image above because the sequence of the MRI is different. It shows the extent of the tumour up and down the arm. This is a fluid-sensitive sequence and helps to show inflammation and oedema caused by the tumour.



Post-operative X-ray showing wide resection of the tumour. The skeletal defect has been reconstructed with a modular reverse total shoulder tumour prosthesis.

History

Ask for important components in the history such as:

Duration: How long has the mass been there? **Progression:** Is it enlarging? Does it ever

decrease in size? Is the size constant?

Associations: Is the mass painful, and if so, when? Any other symptoms associated with the mass? Any fever, night sweats or loss of weight?

Etiology: History of trauma? Symptoms suggestive of infection, i.e. fever, etc? Previous/other masses? Previous medical history? Previous surgical history?

Examination

Structure your examination into inspection, palpation and movement.

Look

- Site: Determine location of the mass
- Superficial or deep to the fascia?
- Size: width x length x depth
- Shape: What is the shape of the lesion?
- State of overlying skin: Are there any skin changes?

Feel

- Temperature: Does the overlying skin feel warm?
- Consistency: Soft, spongy, rubbery hard, bony hard? Does it fluctuate? Is it pulsatile?
- Margin: Indistinct or clearly defined border?
- Tenderness: Is it tender?

Move

- Surrounding structures: Is it attached to the skin or underlying bone? An intramuscular mass becomes more difficult to feel and less mobile when the muscle in which it is located contracts.
- Any lymphadenopathy?
- Range of motion of adjacent joints.

Regional neurovascular examination

Examine distal pulses and peripheral nerve function.

Systemic examination

- General examination.
- Identify any other areas of involvement (metastases).

Special investigations

Plain film X-rays

On X-rays you will gain important information (see chapter on approach to

orthopaedic X-ray). Specifically look for:

- Identify view, patient and date
- Is the patient skeletally mature?
- Single or multiple lesions
- Bone involved
- Part of bone involved (epiphyseal, metaphyseal or diaphyseal?)
- Medullary, cortical or periosteal
- Lytic, mixed or sclerotic appearance
- Appearance of tumour matrix (ossified or calcified)
- Zone of transition (narrow or wide, i.e. clearly demarcated or not)
- Cortical destruction, thinning or expansion?
- Periosteal reaction present?
- Pathological fracture?
- Soft tissue extension?

MRI scan

The MRI scan is important to differentiate sarcomas from infections and to evaluate soft tissue components, and the integrity of the neurovascular bundle. This is often a diagnostic modality which is performed at specialised centres.

Systemic staging

A CT scan of the chest and abdomen, as well as a technetium bone scan allow assessment of the patient for skip lesions or metastases and stage the progression of the disease.

Differential diagnoses

Infections (bacterial, TB, fungal, parasitic) and traumatic causes (fracture callus, myositis ossificants, seroma/hematoma) are important

differential diagnoses for undiagnosed masses. Benign aggressive tumors of the bone can also be associated with a mass (i.e. giant cell tumour, aneurysmal bone cyst). The most common malignant bone tumors are osteosarcoma, Ewing's sarcoma, and chondrosarcoma.

Management

- The workup and referral is urgent, as bone sarcomas double in size every 28 daysand urgent referral to bone sarcoma unit forfurther workup and biopsy is mandatory.
- The MRI scan must be done before the biopsy so as not to interfere with the interpretation of the MRI scan.
- Discussion of all investigations in a multi-disciplinary team, including medical oncologists, radiologists, pathologists and surgeons is important to ensure the bestoutcome for the patient.
- General treatment pathway will include diagnosis and local and systemic staging(looking for metastatic disease), followed by neoadjuvant chemotherapy, followed bysurgery and adjuvant chemotherapy.
- Surgical treatment will consist of either tumour resection and reconstruction (limb salvage) or amputation.
- More than 90% of cases are amenable to limb salvage which involves the resection of the tumour with a cuff of normal tissueto minimise the risk of local recurrence and reconstruction of the limb.
- There are many ways to do this but

one of the more popular methods is with an endoprosthesis which is inserted into the space left by the tumour to give structure to the limb and allow function. An example is given below.

Essential takeaways

- Young patient with a short history of a large swelling of the body – consider bone sarcoma.
- Deep, firm, non-tender, large mass consider bone sarcoma.
- Pervasive, wide zone of transition, lytic bone lesion – consider bone sarcoma.
- Urgent referral to bone sarcoma unit for diagnosis and management.

References

- Browse NL. An Introduction to Symptoms and Signs of Surgical Disease. 2nd ed. London, UK: Edward Arnold; 1991.
- Triana F, Errani C, Toscano A, Pungetti C, Fabbri D, Mazotti A, et al. Current Concepts in the Biopsy ofMusculoskeletal Tumors. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2015;97:e7(1-6).
- Puri A. The principles of surgical resection and reconstruction of bone tumours. Orthop Trauma. 2010;24(4):266-75.

Editor: Michael Held

Conceptualisation: Maritz Laubscher &

Robert Dunn

Cover design: Carlene Venter (Creative Waves Brand Design) Developmental editing and design: Vela Njisane and Phinda Njisane

ABOUT THE BOOK

Informed by experts: Most patients with orthopaedic pathology in low to middle-income countries are treated by non-specialists. This book was based on a modified Delphi consensus study* with experts from Africa, Europe, and North America to provide guidance to these health care workers. Knowledge topics, skills, and cases concerning orthopaedic trauma and infection were prioritised. Acute primary care for fractures and dislocations ranked high.

Furthermore, the diagnosis and the treatment of conditions not requiring specialist referral were prioritised.

* Held et al. Topics, Skills, and Cases for an Undergraduate Musculoskeletal Curriculum in Southern Africa: A Consensus from Local and International Experts. JBJS. 2020 Feb 5;102(3):e10.

THE LION

The Learning Innovation via Orthopaedic Network (LION) aims to improve learning and teaching in orthopaedics in Southern Africa and around the world. These authors have contributed the individual chapters and are mostly orthopaedic surgeons and trainees in Southern Africa who have experience with local orthopaedic pathology and treatment modalities but also in medical education of undergraduate students and primary care physicians. To centre this book around our students, iterative rounds of revising and updating the individual chapters are ongoing, to eliminate expert blind spots and create transformation of knowledge.

DISCLAIMERS

Although the authors, editor and publisher of this book have made every effort to ensure that the information provided was correct at press time, they do not assume and hereby disclaim any liability to any party for any loss, damage, or disruption caused by errors or omissions, whether such errors or omissions result from negligence, accident, or any other cause.

This textbook is not intended as a substitute for the medical advice of physicians. The reader should regularly consult a physician in matters relating to his/her health and particularly with respect to any symptoms that may require diagnosis or medical attention.

The information in this book is meant to supplement, not replace, Orthopaedic primary care training. The authors, editor and publisher advise readers to take full responsibility for their safety and know their limits. Before practicing the skills described in this book, be sure that your equipment is well maintained, and do not take risks beyond your level of experience, aptitude, training, and comfort level.

The individual authors of each chapter are responsible for consent and rights to use and publish images in this book.

© The Authors 2021



Licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) 4.0 International Licence.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was carried out with the aid of a grant from the International Development Research Centre, Ottawa, Canada. Thanks to Johan Fagan, Michelle Willmers and Glenda Cox for their mentorship and support.